

12 February 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM:

SUBJECT: APEX Draft Manual

1. of the DCI's Office of General Counsel, who is the legal advisor to the NFIB Working Group on Compartmentation, has provided the following suggested changes or comments on the APEX Security Control System Manual:

a. Page 1, Line 5 - Should be changed to read National Foreign Intelligence.

b. Page 8, Line 2 - Should be changed to read "single system for controlling access, distribution and protection of selected intelligence".

c. Page 22, Line 6 - Where reference is made to the U.S. Government he felt a question would exist over foreign personnel cleared for the system.

d. Page 23, Line 1 - This line makes reference to actions occurring within NFIB Agencies. He failed to note any reference as to what should occur outside the NFIB Agencies.

e. Page 27, Paragraph a - He felt that the standards for safe storage of materials expressed in the 1973 USIB standard should be compared to requirements stated in ISOO Directive No. 1.

f. Page 31, Paragraph a - He noted that the description of the Central Access Approval Registry was unclear as to whether or not foreign personnel approved for access would be listed. He suggested clarifying language be used.

g. Page 39, Line 4 - He drew attention to the remark that pages would be marked "unclassified" when appropriate. He pointed out that while DCID 1/19 called for such marking, ISOO Directive No. 1 made that requirement optional. His personal predilection would be to reflect the overall classification of the document.

h. Page 39, Line 8 - "To the extent practicable" should be deleted, since ISOO Directive No. 1 no longer allows for any options on portion markings.

i. Page 41, Line 7 - This line should be revised to read, "text, or inside cover of formal publications:".

j. Page 42, Second Paragraph - He suggested that the paragraph on courier requirements be checked against ISOO Directive No. 1 for any possible inconsistencies.

k. Page 58, Line 12 - He suggested that the text be revised to read "Documents may" as opposed to the current version which reads "Documents as required will".

2. also suggested that consideration be given to developing separate sections on Access by the Judiciary and on Access for Foreign Personnel.

STAT



DIST.

ORIG - SUBJ FILE

1 - ALL PANEL MEMBERS

① CHRONO